

#### Praise

- Timely
- State of the art measurement of risk premium (follows Gagliardini et al., 2016)
- Innovative approach to measuring "greenness"
- Applications for financials and for supervisors:
  - Hedging against transition risk
  - Measuring financial stability implications of the energy transition (stress testing)

#### Questions

- Scope of emissions?
- What is the green factor coefficient? Not shown in paper.
- How does the green factor lead to portfolio losses in MES-approach? Set-up differs from Acharya et al. (2010).

### Comments (i/iv)

- How flexible is the definition of the "greenness"-indicator?
  - Berg et al. (2019): ESG-ratings diverge substantially between rating agencies, due to reliance on different indicators and aggregation rules.
  - Would results differ much if a different indicator is used?

#### Comments (ii/iv)

- What would the risk premium be if you add oil and ETS-prices as additional factors?
  - Consider table 1: it's based on Jan 2017 to Aug 2018 a period in which
    - Oil prices ranged from less than 45 USD/barrel to more than 70 USD/barrel
    - The carbon price under the EU Emmissions Trading System ranged from ca. 5 EUR/ton to more than 20 EUR/ton

Portfolio	Mean	Std	Kurt	Skew	Sharpe	$t ext{-stat}$
$ ilde{R}^{g}$				-0.391 -0.593	0.204 0.188	2.522 2.315
$ ilde{R}^c$ $ ilde{R}^b$	1.732 1.425				$0.296 \\ 0.224$	3.643 2.754

#### Comments (iii/iv)

- Can you say that green stocks are "less risky" (ceteris paribus)? Could it be that demand and supply are unbalanced?
  - Comparison: Green bonds are issued at a premium, which is likely caused by demand exceeding supply (see, e.g., Ehlers and Packer, 2017)

## Comments (iv/iv)

- Are T-bills the right proxy for the risk-free rate in European context?
  - Why not use a risk-free rate based on European data?

#### Suggestions for further research

- Is it possible to obtain more specific results?
  - Sector-specific greeniums
  - The "marginal greenium" for becoming slightly more green
- Apply methodology to broader ESG-factors

#### Practical application: DNB stress test (i)

- DNB transition risk stress test: Vermeulen et al., 2018/2019
- Sector-level equity losses:
  - 1) Macro-economic model to calculate market return,  $f_{m,t}$
  - 2) Sector-specific loading-factor, TVF (Transition Vulnerability Factor)

$$\Delta Equity\ value_{Sector\ i,t} = TVF_{Sector\ i} \cdot f_{m,t}$$

### Practical application: DNB stress test (ii)

- TVF is a hypothetical loading-coefficient, based on embodied emissions
- Could be replaced by a sector-specific "green factor"-loading:

$$\Delta Equity\ value_{Sector\ i,t} = b_{g,Sector\ i} \cdot f_{g,t}$$

This would "ground" the DNB stress test in market data

#### References

- Acharya, V., Pederson, L., Philippon, T., and Richardson, M., 2010. **Measuring systemic risk**. *Technical report*, Department of Finance, NYU.
- Berg, F., Kölbel, J. and Rigobon, R., 2019. **Aggregate Confusion: The Divergence of ESG Ratings**. *MIT Sloan Research Paper*, No. 5822-19.
- Ehlers, T., and Packer, F., 2017. **Green bond finance and certification**. *BIS Quarterly Review*, September 2017.
- Gagliardini, P., Ossola, E., and Scaillet, O., 2016. **Time-varying risk premium in large cross-sectional equity datasets**. *Econometrica*, 84(3):985-1046.
- Vermeulen, R., Schets, E., Lohuis, M., Kölbl, B., Jansen, D.J. and Heeringa, W., 2018. **An energy transition** risk stress test for the financial system of the Netherlands. *DNB Occasional Studies*, Volume 16-7.
- Vermeulen, R., Schets, E., Lohuis, M., Kölbl, B., Jansen, D.J. and Heeringa, W., 2019. **The Heat is on: A**Framework for Measuring Financial Stress under Disruptive Energy Transition Scenarios. *DNB Working Paper Series*, No. 625.

# Thank you!

